

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | Criminal Action No. 07-134-SLR |
| MARQUES COMER, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to discussions between the United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Colm F. Connolly, United States Attorney for the District of Delaware and Shawn A. Weede, Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Delaware, and the defendant, Marques Comer, by and through his attorney, Eleni Kousoulis, Esquire, the following agreement is hereby entered into by the respective parties:

- 1. The defendant shall plead guilty in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware to Count One of the Superseding Indictment, which charges him with being a prohibited person in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Count One carries a maximum sentence of a term of imprisonment of ten (10) years, a fine of \$250,000, or both, three years supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment.
- 2. The defendant understands that if there were a trial, the Government would have to prove the following elements with respect to Count One of the Superseding Indictment: (1) on or about July 25, 2007, he knowingly possessed a firearm, that is a Tula Arsenal rifle, model SKS, 7.62 x39mm caliber, serial number ZSH2284; (2) he had previously been convicted of a crime punishable by more than one year of imprisonment; and (3) the firearm affected interstate commerce, that is, that the firearm had previously crossed state lines. The defendant knowingly, voluntarily and

intelligently admits his guilt to each of the above described elements of Count One of the Superseding Indictment.

- 3. At or about the time of sentencing, the Government agrees to move to dismiss Count Two as set forth in the Superseding Indictment.
- 4. The defendant agrees to forfeit all interests in the firearm and ammunition involved in the violation alleged in Count One of the Superseding Indictment, to wit, a Tula Arsenal rifle, model SKS, 7.62 x 39mm caliber, serial number ZSH2284, and seventeen (17) 7.62 x 39mm caliber center-fire cartridges, seized from him by police on or about July 25, 2007. The defendant further agrees to waive all interest in any such asset in any administrative or judicial forfeiture proceeding, whether criminal or civil, state or federal. The defendant agrees to consent to the entry of orders of forfeiture for such property and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that he understands that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the court to advise him of this, pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(J), at the time his guilty plea is accepted.
- 5. The defendant understands that the District Court must consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines and the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) in determining an appropriate sentence. At this stage (prior to the preparation of the pre-sentence report), the defendant should expect that the Government will recommend that the Court impose a sentence within the sentencing range set forth by the sentencing guidelines. The defendant understands, however, that the ultimate determination of an appropriate sentence will be up to the sentencing judge. The Court may impose a sentence which exceeds, falls below, or is contained within the sentencing range prescribed by the sentencing guidelines. The defendant expressly acknowledges that if the Court

imposes a sentence outside the range set forth in the sentencing guidelines, or otherwise different than the defendant expected, or contrary to the recommendation of his attorney or the United States, the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw his guilty plea on that basis.

- 6. Provided that the United States does not subsequently learn of conduct by the defendant inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility, the United States agrees that in consideration of the defendant's timely guilty plea, a two-point reduction in the Offense Level for the defendant's affirmative acceptance of responsibility is appropriate. Further, if it is determined that the defendant's Offense Level, prior to the application of the aforementioned two-level reduction, is Level 16 or greater, the government agrees to move for the reduction of the Offense Level by one additional level, pursuant to Sentencing Guideline Section 3E1.1(b), for a total reduction of three points.
- 7. The defendant agrees to pay the \$100 special assessment the day of sentencing. Should he fail to do so, the Defendant agrees to voluntarily enter the United States Bureau of Prisons' administered program known as the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program through which the Bureau of Prisons will collect a portion of defendant's prison salary and apply it on defendant's behalf to the payment of the outstanding debt ordered.
- 8. The United States Attorney reserves the right to defend any ruling of the District Court should there be an appeal from this case.

9. It is further agreed by the undersigned parties that this Memorandum supersedes all prior promises, representations, and statements of the parties; that this Memorandum may be modified only in writing signed by all the parties; and, that any and all promises, representations, and statements made prior to or after this Memorandum are null and void and have no effect whatsoever.

COLM F. CONNOLLY
United States Attorney

Eleni Kousoulis, Esquire Attorney for Defendant By: Shawn A. Weede

Assistant United States Attorney

Marques Comes Marques Comer

Defendant

Dated: 3 13/08

> Honorable Sue L. Robinson United States District Judge